Unconditional well-posedness for the Dirac - Klein - Gordon system in two space dimensions

Hartmut Pecher

Fachbereich Mathematik und Naturwissenschaften Bergische Universität Wuppertal Gaußstr. 20 42097 Wuppertal Germany

e-mail Hartmut.Pecher@math.uni-wuppertal.de

Abstract

The solution of the Dirac - Klein - Gordon system in two space dimensions with Dirac data in H^s and wave data in $H^{s+\frac{1}{2}} \times H^{s-\frac{1}{2}}$ is uniquely determined in the natural solution space $C^0([0,T],H^s) \times C^0([0,T],H^{s+\frac{1}{2}})$, provided s>1/30. This improves the uniqueness part of the global well-posedness result by A. Grünrock and the author, where uniqueness was proven in (smaller) spaces of Bourgain type. Local well-posedness is also proven for Dirac data in L^2 and wave data in $H^{\frac{3}{5}+} \times H^{-\frac{2}{5}+}$ in the solution space $C^0([0,T],L^2) \times C^0([0,T],H^{\frac{3}{5}+})$ and also for more regular data.

1 Introduction and main results

The Cauchy problem for the Dirac – Klein – Gordon equations in two space dimensions reads as follows

$$i(\partial_t + \alpha \cdot \nabla)\psi + M\beta\psi = -\phi\beta\psi \tag{1}$$

$$(-\partial_t^2 + \Delta)\phi + m\phi = -\langle \beta\psi, \psi \rangle \tag{2}$$

with (large) initial data

$$\psi(0) = \psi_0, \, \phi(0) = \phi_0, \, \partial_t \phi(0) = \phi_1. \tag{3}$$

Here ψ is a two-spinor field, i.e. $\psi: \mathbf{R}^{1+2} \to \mathbf{C}^2$, and ϕ is a real-valued function, i.e. $\phi: \mathbf{R}^{1+2} \to \mathbf{R}$, $m, M \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\nabla = (\partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_2})$, $\alpha \cdot \nabla = \alpha^1 \partial_{x_1} + \alpha^2 \partial_{x_2} \cdot \alpha^1, \alpha^2, \beta$ are hermitian (2×2) -matrices satisfying $\beta^2 = (\alpha^1)^2 = (\alpha^2)^2 = I$, $\alpha^j \beta + \beta \alpha^j = 0$, $\alpha^j \alpha^k + \alpha^k \alpha^j = 2\delta^{jk} I$.

 $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the \mathbb{C}^2 - scalar product. A particular representation is given by

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 35Q55, 35L70

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases:$ Dirac – Klein – Gordon system, uniqueness, Fourier restriction norm method

$$\alpha^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
, $\alpha^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 - i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 - 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

 $\alpha^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \ \alpha^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \ \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$ We consider Cauchy data in Sobolev spaces: $\psi_0 \in H^s$, $\phi_0 \in H^r$, $\phi_1 \in H^{r-1}$. Local well-posedness was shown by d'Ancona, Foschi and Selberg [2] in the case s> $-\frac{1}{5}$ and $\max(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{s}{2}, \frac{1}{4} + \frac{s}{2}, s) < r < \min(\frac{3}{4} + 2s, \frac{3}{4} + \frac{3s}{2}, 1 + s)$. As usually they apply the contraction mapping principle to the system of integral equations belonging to the problem above. The fixed point is constructed in spaces of Bourgain type $X^{s,b} \times X^{r,b}$ which are subsets of the space $C^0([0,T], H^s(\mathbf{R}^2)) \times C^0([0,T], H^r(\mathbf{R}^2))$. Thus especially uniqueness is shown also in these spaces of $X^{s,b}$ -type. Thus the question arises whether unconditional uniqueness holds, namely uniqueness in the natural solution space $C^0([0,T],H^s(\mathbf{R}^2)) \times C^0([0,T],H^r(\mathbf{R}^2))$ without assuming that the solution belongs to some (smaller) $X^{s,b} \times X^{r,b}$ -space.

The question of global well-posedness for the system (1),(2),(3) was recently answered positively for data $\psi_0 \in H^s$, $\phi_0 \in H^{s+\frac{1}{2}}$, $\phi_1 \in H^{s-\frac{1}{2}}$ in the case $s \geq 0$ by A. Grünrock and the author [6]. They showed existence and uniqueness in Bourgain type spaces $X^{s,b,1}$ based on certain Besov spaces with respect to time. These solutions were shown to belong automatically to $C^0([0,T],H^s(\mathbf{R}^2)) \times$ $C^0([0,T],H^{s+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{R}^2))$. Again the question arises whether unconditional uniqueness holds, namely uniqueness in the natural solution space $C^0([0,T],H^s(\mathbf{R}^2)) \times$ $C^0([0,T],H^{s+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{R}^2))$ without assuming that the solution belongs to some (smaller) Bourgain type spaces.

The question of unconditional uniqueness was considered among others by Yi Zhou for the KdV equation [10] and nonlinear wave equations [11], by N. Masmoudi and K. Nakanishi for the Maxwell-Dirac, the Maxwell-Klein-Gordon equations [7], the Klein-Gordon-Zakharov system and the Zakharov system [8], and by F. Planchon [9] for semilinear wave equations.

Our main results read as follows:

Theorem 1.1 Let $\psi_0 \in H^s(\mathbf{R}^2)$, $\phi_0 \in H^r(\mathbf{R}^2)$, $\phi_1 \in H^{r-1}(\mathbf{R}^2)$, where

$$\frac{1}{8} > s \ge 0$$
 , $\frac{3}{5} - 2s < r < \min(\frac{3}{4} + \frac{3}{2}s, 1 - 2s)$.

Then the Cauchy problem (1),(2),(3) is unconditionally locally well-posed in

$$(\psi, \phi, \phi_t) \in C^0([0, T], H^s(\mathbf{R}^2)) \times C^0([0, T], H^r(\mathbf{R}^2)) \times C^0([0, T], H^{r-1}(\mathbf{R}^2))$$
.

Especially we can choose s = 0 and $r = \frac{3}{5} + .$

Remark: Similar results for $s \geq \frac{1}{8}$ and a suitable range for r can also be given. If $1 > s \geq \frac{1}{8}$ the result remains true if $\max(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{s}{2}, s, \frac{2}{5} - \frac{2}{5}s) < r < \min(\frac{3}{4} + \frac{3}{2}s, 6s, 1)$, e.g. if $s = \frac{1}{6}$ and $\frac{1}{3} < r < 1$.

Theorem 1.2 Let $\psi_0 \in H^s(\mathbf{R}^2)$, $\phi_0 \in H^{s+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{R}^2)$, $\phi_1 \in H^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{R}^2)$ with $s > \frac{1}{30}$. Then the Cauchy problem (1),(2),(3) is unconditionally globally well-posed in the

$$(\psi, \phi, \phi_t) \in C^0(\mathbf{R}^+, H^s(\mathbf{R}^2)) \times C^0(\mathbf{R}^+, H^{s+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{R}^2)) \times C^0(\mathbf{R}^+, H^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{R}^2))$$
.

This means that existence and uniqueness holds in these spaces.

Remark: The interesting question of unconditional uniqueness in the case of lowest regularity of the data where global existence is known (s=0 in Theorem 1.2 and s=0, $r=\frac{1}{2}$ in Theorem 1.1)(cf. Theorem 2.2) unfortunately remains unsolved.

We use the following Bourgain type function spaces. Let $\tilde{}$ denote the Fourier transform with respect to space and time. $X^{s,b}_{\pm}$ is the completion of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{R}^2)$ with respect to

$$||f||_{X^{s,b}} = ||U_{\pm}(-t)f||_{H_{\tau}^{b}H_{\tau}^{s}} = ||\langle \xi \rangle^{s} \langle \tau \pm |\xi| \rangle^{b} \tilde{f}(\xi,\tau)||_{L^{2}},$$

where $U_{\pm}(t) := e^{\mp it|D|}$ and

$$||g||_{H_t^b H_x^s} = ||\langle \xi \rangle^s \langle \tau \rangle^b \tilde{g}(\xi, \tau)||_{L_{\xi_\tau}^2}.$$

Finally we define

$$||f||_{X^{s,b}_{\pm}[0,T]} := \inf_{g_{|[0,T]}=f} ||g||_{X^{s,b}_{\pm}}.$$

2 Preparations

As is well-known it is convenient to replace the system (1),(2),(3) by considering the projections onto the one-dimensional eigenspaces of the operator $-i\alpha \cdot \nabla$ belonging to the eigenvalues $\pm |\xi|$. These projections are given by $\Pi_{\pm}(D)$, where $D = \frac{\nabla}{i}$ and $\Pi_{\pm}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}(I \pm \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \cdot \alpha)$. Then $-i\alpha \cdot \nabla = |D|\Pi_{+}(D) - |D|\Pi_{-}(D)$ and $\Pi_{\pm}(\xi)\beta = \beta\Pi_{\mp}(\xi)$. Defining $\psi_{\pm} := \Pi_{\pm}(D)\psi$ and splitting the function ϕ into the sum $\phi = \frac{1}{2}(\phi_{+} + \phi_{-})$, where $\phi_{\pm} := \phi \pm iA^{-1/2}\partial_{t}\phi$, $A := -\Delta + 1$, the Dirac-Klein - Gordon system can be rewritten as

$$(-i\partial_t \pm |D|)\psi_{\pm} = -M\beta\psi_{\mp} + \Pi_{\pm}(\phi\beta(\psi_+ + \psi_-))$$

$$(i\partial_t \mp A^{1/2})\phi_{\pm} = \mp A^{-1/2}\langle\beta(\psi_+ + \psi_-), \psi_+ + \psi_-\rangle \mp A^{-1/2}(m+1)(\phi_+ + \phi_-).$$
(5)

The initial conditions are transformed into

$$\psi_{\pm}(0) = \Pi_{\pm}(D)\psi_0, \, \phi_{\pm}(0) = \phi_0 \pm iA^{-1/2}\phi_1 \tag{6}$$

We now state again the above mentioned well-posedness results on which our results rely.

Theorem 2.1 ([2]) Let $\psi_0 \in H^s$, $\phi_0 \in H^r$, $\phi_1 \in H^{r-1}$, where

$$s > -\frac{1}{5} \;,\; \max(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{s}{2}, \frac{1}{4} + \frac{s}{2}, s) < r < \min(\frac{3}{4} + 2s, \frac{3}{4} + \frac{3}{2}s, 1 + s) \,.$$

Then the Cauchy problem (4),(5),(6) is locally well-posed for

$$(\psi_{\pm}, \phi_{\pm}) \in X_{\pm}^{s, \frac{1}{2} +} [0, T] \times X_{\pm}^{r, \frac{1}{2} +} [0, T],$$

i.e.

$$(\psi, \phi, \partial_t \phi) \in (X_+^{s, \frac{1}{2} +} [0, T] + X_-^{s, \frac{1}{2} +} [0, T]) \times (X_+^{r, \frac{1}{2} +} [0, T] + X_-^{r, \frac{1}{2} +} [0, T]) \times (X_+^{r-1, \frac{1}{2} +} [0, T] + X_-^{r-1, \frac{1}{2} +} [0, T]) .$$

This solution belongs to

$$C^0([0,T],H^s) \times C^0([0,T],H^r) \times C^0([0,T],H^{r-1})$$
.

Remark: The question of uniqueness in the latter (larger) spaces remained open.

Theorem 2.2 ([6]) Let $s \ge 0$ and $\psi_0 \in H^s$, $\phi_0 \in H^{s+\frac{1}{2}}$, $\phi_1 \in H^{s-\frac{1}{2}}$. Then the Cauchy problem (4),(5),(6) is globally well-posed for

$$(\psi_{\pm}, \phi_{\pm}) \in X_{\pm}^{s, \frac{1}{3}, 1} \times X_{\pm}^{s + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, 1}$$
.

This solution belongs to

$$(\psi, \phi, \partial_t \phi) \in C^0(\mathbf{R}^+, H^s) \times C^0(\mathbf{R}^+, H^{s+\frac{1}{2}}) \times C^0(\mathbf{R}^+, H^{s-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Here the spaces $X^{s,\frac{1}{3},1}$ are certain Bourgain type spaces based on Besov spaces (with respect to time). For a precise definition we refer to [6].

Remark: Again the question of uniqueness in the latter (larger) spaces remained open.

We recall the following facts about the solution of the inhomogeneous linear problem

$$\partial_t v - i\phi(D)v = F$$
 , $v(0) = v_0$,

namely

$$v(t) = U(t)v_0 + \int_0^t U(t-s)F(s)ds,$$

where

$$U(t) = e^{it\phi(D)}v_0.$$

Proposition 2.1 ([4] or [5]) Let $b' + 1 \ge b \ge 0 \ge b' > -1/2$. Then the following estimate holds for $T \le 1$:

$$\|v\|_{X^{s,b}[0,T]} \le c(T^{\frac{1}{2}-b}\|v_0\|_{H^s} + T^{1+b'-b}\|F\|_{X^{s,b'}[0,T]}).$$

Here $X^{s,b}$ denotes the completion of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^2)$ with respect to the norm $||f||_{X^{s,b}} = ||U(-t)f||_{H^b_rH^s_-}$ and $X^{s,b}[0,T]$ the restrictions of these functions to [0,T].

3 Proofs of the theorems

The key result reads as follows:

Theorem 3.1 Let $\psi_0 \in H^s(\mathbf{R}^2)$, $\phi_0 \in H^r(\mathbf{R}^2)$, $\phi_1 \in H^{r-1}(\mathbf{R}^2)$, T > 0. Assume $\frac{1}{8} > s \ge 0$ and $\frac{3}{5} - 2s < r < 1 - 2s$. Then the Cauchy problem (1),(2),(3) has at most one solution

$$(\psi, \phi, \partial_t \phi) \in C^0([0, T], H^s(\mathbf{R}^2)) \times C^0([0, T], H^r(\mathbf{R}^2)) \times C^0([0, T], H^{r-1}(\mathbf{R}^2))$$

This solution satisfies $\psi_{\pm} \in X_{\pm}^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{r}{2}+s+,\frac{1}{2}+}[0,T]$, $\phi_{\pm} \in X_{\pm}^{-\frac{1}{4}+r+2s+,\frac{1}{2}+}[0,T]$.

Proof: We show that any solution

$$(\psi, \phi, \partial_t \phi) \in C^0([0, T], H^s(\mathbf{R}^2)) \times C^0([0, T], H^r(\mathbf{R}^2)) \times C^0([0, T], H^{r-1}(\mathbf{R}^2))$$

fulfills $\psi_{\pm} \in X_{\pm}^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{r}{2}+s+,\frac{1}{2}+}[0,T]$, $\phi_{\pm} \in X_{\pm}^{-\frac{1}{4}+r+2s+,\frac{1}{2}+}[0,T]$. In this space uniqueness holds by the result of d'Ancona, Foschi and Selberg (Theorem 2.1), who had to use the full null structure of the system.

Let $\psi_{\pm} \in C^0([0,T], H^s)$, $\phi_{\pm} \in C^0([0,T], H^r)$ be a solution of (4),(5),(6) in the interval [0,T] for some $T \leq 1$.

a. We estimate

$$\begin{split} \|\phi\beta\psi_{\pm}\|_{L^{2}((0,T),H^{-1+r+s})} & \leq c\|\phi\beta\psi_{\pm}\|_{L^{2}((0,T),L^{\tilde{r}})} \\ & \leq cT^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\phi\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{\tilde{p}})}\|\psi_{\pm}\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{\tilde{q}})} \\ & \leq cT^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\phi\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),H^{s+\frac{1}{2}})}\|\psi_{\pm}\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),H^{s})} < \infty \,, \end{split}$$

where $\frac{1}{\tilde{r}} = 1 - \frac{r}{2} - \frac{s}{2}$, $\frac{1}{\tilde{p}} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{r}{2}$, $\frac{1}{\tilde{q}} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{s}{2}$. We also have $\psi_{\pm} \in L^2((0,T), H^{-1+r+s})$, because r < 1, so that from (4) we get $\psi_{\pm} \in X_{\pm}^{-1+r+s,1}[0,T]$, because

$$\|\psi_{\pm}\|_{X_{\pm}^{-1+r+s,1}[0,T]}^2 \sim \int_0^T \|\psi_{\pm}(t)\|_{H^{-1+r+s}}^2 dt + \int_0^T \|(-i\partial_t \pm |D|)\psi_{\pm}(t)\|_{H^{-1+r+s}}^2 ds.$$

Interpolation with $\psi_\pm\in X^{s,0}_\pm[0,T]$ gives $\psi_\pm\in X^{s_1,\frac12+}_\pm[0,T]$, where $s_1=-\frac12+\frac r2+s+$. Remark that $s_1<0$ under our assumptions.

b. In order to show from (5) that $\phi_{\pm} \in X_{\pm}^{r_1, \frac{1}{2}+}[0, T]$ we have to give the following estimates according to Prop. 2.1:

1.

$$\|\langle \beta \Pi_{\pm 1}(D)\psi, \Pi_{\pm 2}\psi' \rangle\|_{X_{\pm 3}^{r_1-1, -\frac{1}{2}+}[0,T]} \le c\|\psi\|_{X_{\pm 1}^{s_1, \frac{1}{2}+}[0,T]}\|\psi'\|_{X_{\pm 2}^{s_1, \frac{1}{2}+}[0,T]}$$

Here \pm_1, \pm_2, \pm_3 denote independent signs. This estimate is proven in [2], Thm. 2 and requires the following conditions: $s_1 > -\frac{1}{4} \Leftrightarrow r+2s > \frac{1}{2}$ and $r_1 < \frac{3}{4} + 2s_1 = -\frac{1}{4} + r + 2s + .$ Thus we can choose $r_1 = -\frac{1}{4} + r + 2s + .$

2.

$$\|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\phi_{\pm}\|_{X_{+}^{r_{1},-\frac{1}{2}+}[0,T]} \leq \|\phi_{\pm}\|_{L^{2}((0,T),H^{r_{1}-1})} \leq T^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\phi_{\pm}\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),H^{r_{1}-1})} < \infty$$

3.
$$\phi_{\pm}(0) \in H^r \subset H^{r_1}$$
, if $s < \frac{1}{8}$.

Choosing $\psi = \psi_{\pm 1}$ and $\psi' = \psi_{\pm 2}$ in 1. and using 2. and a. we get $\phi_{\pm} \in$ $X_{+}^{r_1,\frac{1}{2}+}[0,T].$

c. We have shown that any solution $\psi_{\pm} \in C^0([0,T],H^s)$, $\phi_{\pm} \in C^0([0,T],H^r)$ fulfills $\psi_{\pm} \in X_{+}^{s_1}[0,T]$, $\phi_{\pm} \in X_{+}^{r_1}[0,T]$. Now we use the uniqueness part of Theorem 1.2. It requires the following conditions:

$$\max(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{s_1}{2}, \frac{1}{4} + \frac{s_1}{2}, s_1) < r_1 < \min(\frac{3}{4} + 2s_1, \frac{3}{4} + \frac{3}{2}s_1, 1 + s_1)$$

and $s_1 > -\frac{1}{5}$. An elementary calculation shows that this is equivalent to

$$\frac{3}{5} - 2s < r < 1 - 2s$$
.

This gives the claimed result.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 We combine Theorem 3.1 with the existence part of the local well-posedness result of d'Ancona, Foschi and Selberg (Theorem 2.1). One easily checks that the conditions on s and r reduce to the assumed ranges for these parameters.

Proof of Theorem 1.2: We use Theorem 3.1 with $s < \frac{1}{8}$, $r = s + \frac{1}{2}$. This requires $\frac{3}{5} - 2s < s + \frac{1}{2} \Leftrightarrow s > \frac{1}{30}$. Combining this with the existence part of the global well-posedness of A. Grünrock and the author (Theorem 2.2) we get the claimed result.

References

- [1] P. d'Ancona, D. Foschi, and S. Selberg: Null structure and almost optimal local regularity for the Dirac Klein Gordon system. Journal of the EMS 9 (2007), 877-898
- [2] P. d'Ancona, D. Foschi, and S. Selberg: Local well-posedness below the charge norm for the Dirac-Klein-Gordon system in two space dimensions. Journal Hyperbolic Diff. Equations 4 (2007), 295-330
- [3] J. Ginibre and G. Velo: Generalized Strichartz inequalities for the wave equation. J. Funct. Analysis 133 (1995), 50-68
- [4] J. Ginibre, Y. Tsutsumi and G. Velo: On the Cauchy problem for the Zakharov system. J. Funct. Analysis 151 (1997), 384-436
- [5] A. Grünrock: New applications of the Fourier restriction norm method to wellposedness problems for nonlinear evolution equations. Dissertation Univ. Wuppertal 2002, http://elpub.bib.uni-wuppertal.de/edocs/dokumente/fb7/diss 2002/gruenrock
- [6] A. Grünrock and H. Pecher: Global solutions for the Dirac-Klein-Gordon system in two space dimensions. Comm. PDE 35 (2010), 89-112
- [7] N. Masmoudi and K. Nakanishi: Uniqueness of finite energy solutions for Maxwell-Dirac and Maxwell-Klein-Gordon equations. Commun. Math. Phys. 243 (2003), 123-136
- [8] N. Masmoudi and K. Nakanishi: Uniqueness of solutions for Zakharov systems. Funkcial. Ekvac. 52 (2009), 233-253
- [9] F. Planchon: On uniqueness for semilinear wave equations. Math. Z. 244 (2003), 587-599
- [10] Y. Zhou: Uniqueness of weak solutions of the KdV equation. Int. Math. Res. Notices No. 6 (1997), 271-183
- [11] Y. Zhou: Uniqueness of generalized solutions to nonlinear wave equations. Amer. J. of Math. 122 (2000), 939-965